# South Central Los Angeles Regional Center Home and Community-Based Services Self Determination Program Waiver Monitoring Review Report

# Conducted by:

Department of Developmental Services and Department of Health Care Services

May 28-June 14, 2024

# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

EXECUTIVE SI	JMMARY page	3
SECTION I	REGIONAL CENTER SELF-ASSESSMENT page	5
SECTION II	REGIONAL CENTER RECORD REVIEW OF INDIVIDUALS SERVEI	
SECTION III	OBSERVATIONS AND INTERVIEWS OF INDIVIDUALS SERVED page 1	2
SECTION IV	SERVICE COORDINATOR INTERVIEWSpage	15
SECTION V	SPECIAL INCIDENT REPORTINGpage 1	7
SAMPLE OF IN	IDIVIDUALS SERVEDpage	18

#### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

The Department of Developmental Services (Department) and the Department of Health Care Services (DHCS) conducted the federal compliance monitoring review of the Home and Community-Based Services (HCBS) Self Determination Program (SDP) Waiver from May 28–June 14, 2024 at South Central Los Angeles Regional Center SCLARC). The monitoring team members were Ashley Guletz (Team Leader), Kelly Sandoval, Vannessa Fonseca, Natasha Clay, Dominique Johnson, Nora Muir, Fam Chao, Jenny Mundo, Nadia Flores, Lena Mertz, Crystal La, and Deeanna Tran from the Department.

## Purpose of the Review

The Department contracts with 21 private, non-profit corporations to operate regional centers, which are responsible under state law for coordinating, providing, arranging or purchasing all services needed for eligible individuals with developmental disabilities in California. All HCBS SDP Waiver services are provided through this system. It is the responsibility of the Department to ensure, with the oversight of DHCS, that the HCBS SDP Waiver is implemented by regional centers in accordance with Medicaid statute and regulations.

Overview of the HCBS SDP Waiver Programmatic Compliance Monitoring Protocol

The compliance monitoring review protocol is comprised of sections/components designed to determine if the individuals' needs, and program requirements are being met and that services are being provided in accordance with the individual program plans (IPP). Specific criteria have been developed for the review sections listed below that are derived from federal/state statutes and regulations and from Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services directives and guidelines relating to the provision of HCBS SDP Waiver services.

#### Scope of Review

The monitoring team reviewed a sample of 18 records for individuals served who are on the HCBS SDP Waiver. In addition, the following supplemental sample records were reviewed: Three individuals whose HCBS SDP Waiver eligibility had been previously terminated during the review period of February 1, 2023, through January 31, 2024.

The monitoring team interviewed and/or observed 10 individuals selected for the HCBS monitoring review.

#### **Overall Conclusion**

SCLARC is in substantial compliance with the federal requirements for the HCBS SDP Waiver program. Specific recommendations that require follow-up actions by SCLARC are included in the report findings. The Department is requesting documentation of

follow-up actions taken by SCLARC in response to each of the specific recommendations within 30 days following receipt of this report.

## **Major Findings**

### Section I – Regional Center Self-Assessment

The self-assessment responses indicated that SCLARC has systems and procedures in place for implementing the state and HCBS Waiver requirements addressed in the self-assessment criteria.

#### <u>Section II – Regional Center Record Review of Individuals Served</u>

Eighteen sample records for individuals served on the HCBS SDP Waiver were reviewed for 35 documentation requirements (criteria) derived from federal and state statutes and regulations and HCBS SDP Waiver requirements. Eight criteria were rated as not applicable for this review. The sample records were 99 percent in overall compliance for this review.

Terminations: Three supplemental records were reviewed solely for documentation that SCLARC had either provided the individuals served with written notification prior to termination of the individual's HCBS SDP Waiver eligibility, or the individual served had voluntarily disenrolled from the HCBS SDP Waiver. SCLARC's records were 100 percent in overall compliance for this review.

#### Section III Observations and Interviews of Individuals Served

Ten individuals served, or in the case of minors, their parents, were interviewed and/or observed. The monitoring team observed that all the individuals were in good health and were treated with dignity and respect. Three out of ten of the interviewed individuals/parents indicated that they were satisfied with their services, health and choices.

#### Section IV – Service Coordinator Interviews

Four service coordinators were interviewed using a standard interview instrument. The service coordinators responded to questions regarding their knowledge of the individual served, the IPP/annual review process, SDP services and supports, the monitoring of services, health issues, and safety. The service coordinators were very familiar with the individuals served and knowledgeable about their roles and responsibilities.

#### Section V – Special Incident Reporting

The monitoring team reviewed the records of the 18 HCBS SDP Waiver individuals. SCLARC reported all of the special incidents for the sample selected for the HCBS SDP Waiver review. There were no SIRs for the supplemental sample for this review.

## **SECTION I**

#### REGIONAL CENTER SELF-ASSESSMENT

# I. Purpose

Monitoring Review Report

The regional center self-assessment addresses the California Home and Community-Based Services (HCBS) Waiver assurances criteria and is designed to provide information about the regional center's processes and practices. The responses are used to verify that the regional center has processes in place to ensure compliance with federal and state laws and regulations.

The self-assessment obtains information about SCLARC procedures and practices to verify that there are processes in place to ensure compliance with state and federal laws and regulations as well as the assurances contained in the HCBS Waiver application approved by the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services.

### II. Scope of Assessment

SCLARC is asked to respond to questions in four categories that correspond to the HCBS Waiver assurances with which the regional center is responsible for complying.

#### III. Results of Assessment

The self-assessment responses indicate that SCLARC has systems and procedures in place for implementing the state and HCBS Waiver requirements addressed in the self-assessment criteria.

- ✓ A portion of the self-assessment can be found on the HCBS Waiver Monitoring Report.
- ✓ The full response to the self-assessment is available upon request.

# **SECTION II**

Department of Developmental Services

# **REGIONAL CENTER** RECORD REVIEW OF INDIVIDUALS SERVED

#### Ι. Purpose

The review is based upon documentation criteria derived from federal/state statutes and regulations and from the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services directives and guidelines relating to the provision of Home and Community-Based Services (HCBS) Self-Determination Program (SDP) Waiver services. The criteria address requirements for eligibility, individual choice, notification of proposed action and fair hearing rights, level of care, individual program plans (IPP) and periodic reviews and reevaluations of services. The information obtained about the individual's needs and services is tracked as a part of the program review.

#### II. Scope of Review

- Eighteen HCBS SDP Waiver records were selected for the review sample.
- 2. The review period covered activity from February 1, 2023 January 31, 2024.

#### III. Results of Review

The 18 sample records of individuals served were reviewed for 35 documentation requirements derived from federal and state statutes and regulations and HCBS SDP Waiver requirements. Three supplemental records were reviewed solely for documentation that SCLARC had either provided the individual served with written notification prior to termination of the individual's HCBS SDP Waiver eligibility or the individual had voluntarily disenrolled from the HCBS SDP Waiver.

- ✓ The supplemental records were in 100 percent compliance for documentation that the individual was either provided written notification before termination or voluntarily disenrolled from the HCBS SDP Waiver.
- ✓ The sample records were in 100 percent compliance for 26 criteria. There are no recommendations for these criteria. Eight criteria were not applicable for this review.
- ✓ Findings for one criterion are detailed below.
- ✓ A summary of the results of the review is shown in the table at the end of this section.

- IV. Findings and Recommendations
- 2.5.b The qualifying conditions documented in the Client Development Evaluation Report (CDER) are consistent with information contained in the individual's record. [SMM 4442.5; 42 CFR 441.302(c); Title 22, CCR, §51343]

#### Findings

Sixteen of the eighteen (89 percent) sample records of individuals served documented level-of-care qualifying conditions that were consistent with information found elsewhere in the record. However, information contained in two records (detailed below) did not support the determination that all the issues identified in the CDER and the Medicaid Waiver Eligibility Record (DS 3770) could be considered qualifying conditions. The following were identified as qualifying conditions on the DS 3770, but there was no supporting information in the records of individuals served (IPP, progress reports, vendor reports, etc.) that described the impact of the identified conditions or need for services and supports:

- 1. Individual #4: "running/wandering away." During the monitoring review, an addendum dated May 31, 2024 was completed to add this qualifying condition to the record. Accordingly, no recommendation is required and,
- 2. Individual #13: "running/wandering away," appears on CDER as never occurring but IPP dated November 29, 2023 states running/wandering away occurs weekly. During the monitoring review, a new CDER dated June 3, 2024 was completed to add the qualifying condition as occurring weekly. Accordingly, no recommendation is required.

	Summary for Regional Center Record Review of Individuals Served Sample Size = 18 + 3 Supplemental Records (see Section II, Part III)					
	Criteria	+	-	N/A	% Met	Follow-up
2.0	The individual is Medi-Cal eligible. (SMM 4442.1)	18		3	100	None
2.1	Each record contains a Medicaid Waiver Eligibility Record (DS 3770), signed by a Qualified Intellectual Disabilities Professional (QIDP), which documents the date of the individual's initial HCBS SDP Waiver eligibility certification, annual recertifications, the individual's qualifying conditions and short-term absences.  (SMM 4442.1), [42 CFR 483.430(a)]	Criterion 2.1 consists of four sub-criteria (2.1.a-d) that are reviewed and rated independently.				
2.1.a	The DS 3770 is signed by a Qualified Intellectual Disabilities Professional and the title "QIDP" appears after the person's signature.	18		3	100	None
2.1.b	The DS 3770 form identifies the individual's qualifying conditions and any applicable special health care requirements for meeting the Title 22 level of care requirements.	18		3	100	None
2.1.c	The DS 3770 form documents annual recertifications.	18		3	100	None
2.1.d	The DS 3770 documents short-term absences of 120 days or less, if applicable.			21	NA	None
2.2	Each record contains a dated and signed Medicaid Waiver Consumer Choice of Services/Living Arrangements form, (DS 2200). (SMM 4442.7), [42 CFR 441.302(d)]	18		3	100	None
2.3	There is a written notification of a proposed action and documentation that the individual served has been sent written notice of their fair hearing rights whenever choice of living arrangements is not offered, services or choice of services are denied, the individual/parent/legal guardian or legal representative does not agree with all or part of the components in the individuals IPP, or the individual's HCBS SDP Waiver eligibility has been terminated.  (SMM 4442.7), (42 CFR Part 431, Subpart E), [WIC §4710(a)(1)]	4		17	100	None

	Summary for Regional Center Record Review of Individuals Served Sample Size = 18 + 3 Supplemental Records (see Section II, Part III)					
	Criteria	+	-	N/A	% Met	Follow-up
2.4	Each record contains a current Client	•		IVA	70 WICE	i ollow-up
2.4	Development Evaluation Report (CDER) that has been reviewed within the last 12 months. (SMM 4442.5), (42 CFR 441.302)	18		3	100	None
2.5.a	The qualifying conditions and any special health care requirements used to meet the level of care requirements for care provided in an ICF/DD, ICF/DD-H, and ICF/DD-N facility are documented in the individual's CDER and other assessments. (SMM 4442.5), [42 CFR 441.302(c)], (Title 22, CCR, §51343)	18		3	100	None
2.5.b	The individuals qualifying conditions documented in the CDER are consistent with information contained in the record.	16	2	3	89	See Narrative
2.6.a	IPP is reviewed (at least annually) by the planning team and modified as necessary in response to the individual's changing needs, wants or health status.  [42 CFR 441.301(b)(1)(l)	18		3	100	None
2.6.b	The HCBS SDP Waiver Standardized Annual Review Form is completed and signed annually by the planning team to document whether or not a change to the existing IPP is necessary, and health status and CDER have been reviewed. (HCBS SDP Waiver requirement)			21	NA	None
2.7.a	The IPP is signed, prior to its implementation, by an authorized representative of the regional center and the individual served, or where appropriate, his/her parents or legal guardian or conservator. [WIC §4646(g)]	18		3	100	None
2.7.b	IPP addenda are signed by an authorized representative of the regional center and the individual served, or where appropriate, his/her parents, legal guardian, or conservator.	17		4	100	None
2.7.c	The IPP is prepared jointly with the planning team. [WIC §4646(d)]	18		3	100	None
2.8	The IPP includes a statement of goals based on the needs, preferences and life choices of the individual. [WIC §4646.5(a)]	18		3	100	None

Summary for Regional Center Record Review of Individuals Served Sample Size = 18 + 3 Supplemental Records (see Section II, Part III)						
	Criteria	+	-	N/A	% Met	Follow-up
2.9 The IPP addresses the individual's goals and needs. [WIC §4646.5(a)(2)]		crite	eria (2		nsists of se that are re	
2.9.a	The IPP addresses the qualifying conditions identified in the CDER and Medicaid Waiver Eligibility Record (DS 3770).	18		3	100	None
2.9.b	The IPP addresses special health care requirements.	2		19	100	None
2.9.c	The IPP addresses the services which the CCF provider is responsible for implementing.			21	NA	None
2.9.d	The IPP addresses the services which the day program provider is responsible for implementing.			21	NA	None
2.9.e	The IPP addresses the services which the supported living services agency or independent living services provider is responsible for implementing.			21	NA	None
2.9.f	The IPP addresses the individual's goals, preferences and life choices.	18		3	100	None
2.9.g	The IPP includes a family plan component if the individual is a minor. [WIC §4685(c)(2)]	9		12	100	None
2.10.a	The IPP includes a schedule of the type and amount of all services and supports purchased by the regional center. Including budget and spending plan [WIC §4646.5(a)(5)]	18		3	100	None
2.10.b	The IPP includes a schedule of the type and amount of all services and supports obtained from generic agencies or other resources. [WIC §4646.5(a)(5)]	18		3	100	None
2.10.c	The IPP specifies the approximate scheduled start date for the new services.  [WIC §4646.5(a)(5)]	17		4	100	None
2.11	The IPP identifies the provider or providers of service responsible for implementing services, including but not limited to vendors, contract providers, generic service agencies and natural supports. [WIC §4646.5(a)(5)]	18		3	100	None
2.11.a	Copy of the spending plan attached to the participants IPP(WIC § 4685.8(c)(7))	18		3	100	None

	Summary for Regional Center Record Review of Individuals Served Sample Size = 18 + 3 Supplemental Records (see Section II, Part III)					
	Criteria	+	-	N/A	% Met	Follow-up
2.11.b	The spending plan total amount does not exceed the amount of the certified budget. (WIC §4685.8(c)(7))	18		3	100	None
2.11.c	For individual budgets that were increased or decreased, the IPP documents the specific reason for the adjustment <i>WIC</i> § 4685.8(m)(1)(A)(ii)(I)).	12		9	100	None
2.11.d	Regional center or IPP team approve transfers in excess of 10 percent of the original amount allocated to any budget category. (SC 310-330); Employment & Community (SC 331-335); and Health and Safety (SC 356-399)) (WIC § 4685.8(n)).	9		12	100	None
2.12	Periodic review and reevaluations of progress for individuals served are completed (at least annually) to ascertain that planned services have been provided, that progress has been achieved within the time specified, and the individual and his/her family are satisfied with the IPP and its implementation. [WIC §4646.5(a)(8)]	18		3	100	None
2.13.a	Quarterly face-to-face meetings are completed with individuals living in community out-of-home settings, i.e., Service Level 2, 3 or 4 CCFs, family home agencies or receiving supported living and independent living services (Title 17, CCR, §56047), (Title 17, CCR, §58680), (Contract requirement)			21	NA	None
2.13.b	Quarterly reports of progress are completed for individuals living in community out-of-home settings, i.e.,. Service Level 2, 3 or 4 CCFs, family home agencies or receiving supported living and independent living services (Title 17, CCR, §56047), (Title 17, CCR, §58680), (Contract requirement)			21	NA	None
2.14	Face-to-face reviews are completed no less than once every 30 days for the first 90 days following the individual's move from a developmental center to a community living arrangement. (WIC §4418.3)			21	NA	None

#### **SECTION III**

#### **OBSERVATIONS AND INTERVIEWS OF INDIVIDUALS SERVED**

# I. Purpose

The observations are conducted to verify that the individuals served appear to be healthy and have good hygiene. Interview questions focus on the individual's satisfaction with their financial management service provider, independent facilitator, participation in developing budget and spending plan, and regional center services.

# II. Scope of Observations and Interviews

Ten of the 18 individuals served, or in the case of minors, their parents, were interviewed and/or observed at their day programs, employment sites, community care facilities (CCF), or in independent living settings.

- ✓ Two individuals agreed to be interviewed by the monitoring teams.
- ✓ Three individuals did not communicate verbally or declined an interview but were observed.
- ✓ Five interviews were conducted with parents of minors.
- ✓ Eight individuals were unavailable for or declined interviews.

#### III. Results of Observations and Interviews

Three of the ten individuals/parents of minors indicated satisfaction with their financial management service provider, independent facilitator, participation in developing budget and spending plan, and regional center services. The appearance for all of the individuals that were interviewed and observed reflected personal choice and individual style.

# IV. Finding and Recommendation

Individuals #2, #3, #5, #10, #11, #15, and #16: Stated they were dissatisfied with their Financial Management Service regarding timeliness of reimbursements.

Recommendation	Regional Center Plan/Response
SCLARC should follow up with individuals #2, #3, #5, #10, #11, #15 and	<b>#2</b> 10/1/24 was given the list of FMS to choose another FMS.
#16 regarding their concerns.	#3 10/2/24 PCS provided list of FMS's
	to choose another FMS. 10/15/24 IF assisting with the new FMS and

completion of paperwork. Change of FMS is pending at this time. #5 8/6/24 PCS was informed by SC that participant is in the process of changing FMS for new 4th year in SDP effective 9/1/24 NEW FMS for 4th year in SDP. **#10** 10/2/24 provide parent with list of FMS if she would like to choose a new FMS. **#11** 5/01/24 PCS provide list of FMS to mother due to presently not being pleased with FMS. 6/04/2024 Mother decided to stay with current FMS. **#15** PCS provide grandparent with list of FMS(s) due to previous concerns with the FMS not responding promptly. As of now the FMS continues to be the same. **#16** 5/01/24 PCS provide list of FMS to mother due to presently not being pleased with FMS. 7/12/2024 Mother decided to stay with current FMS.

Individual #15: Grandparent reported difficulty in communicating with the regional center for getting assistance with individual's needs.

Recommendation	Regional Center Plan/Response
SCLARC should follow up with individual #15 regarding their concerns.	#15 PCS provided grandparent with current Service Coordinator (SC) contact information and to assist her with having access to someone in case any concerns may arise. (SC) has consistently met with the grandmother in person and responded to her phone calls in a timely manner. Phone calls were made on 5/31/24, 6/3/24, and 8/27/24 to grandmother. In each phone call the SC ID noted discussing the IEP and other needs with grandmother. On 9/12/24, SC attended the 2 <sup>nd</sup> portion of IEP.

Additionally, the PCS provided the
grandmother with a couple of contact
numbers to the SC and the SCLARC
SDP line to ensure she has access to
someone for assistance and to
address any concerns that may arise in
the future.

# Department of Health Care Services Monitoring Review Report

### SERVICE COORDINATOR INTERVIEWS

**SECTION IV** 

# I. Purpose

The interviews determine how well the service coordinators know the individuals they serve, the extent of their participation in the individual program plan (IPP)/ annual review process, knowledge of self-determination program (SDP) services, and supports and how they monitor services, health and safety issues.

# II. Scope of Interviews

- 1. The monitoring team interviewed four SCLARC service coordinators.
- 2. The interview questions are divided into two categories.
  - ✓ The questions in the first category are related to the individuals selected by the monitoring team.
  - ✓ The questions in the second category are related to general areas.

#### III. Results of Interviews

- The service coordinators were very familiar with the individuals served selected for the monitoring review. They were able to relate specific details regarding the individuals' desires, preferences, life circumstances and service needs.
- 2. The service coordinators were knowledgeable about the IPP/annual review process, SDP process, and monitoring requirements. Family members provided input on the individuals' needs, preferences and satisfaction with services outlined in the IPP. For individuals in out-of-home placement settings, service coordinators conduct quarterly face-to-face visits and develop written assessments of progress and satisfaction of individuals served. In preparation for the quarterly visits, service coordinators review their previous progress reports, pertinent case notes, special incident reports, and vendor reports of progress.
- 3. To better understand issues related to individuals' use of medication and issues related to side effects, the service coordinators utilize SCLARC medical director and online resources for medication.

4. The service coordinators monitor the services, health and safety during periodic visits. They are aware of the individuals' health issues. The service coordinators were knowledgeable about the special incident reporting process and work with the vendors to ensure all special incidents are reported and appropriate follow-up activities are completed.

#### **SECTION V**

#### SPECIAL INCIDENT REPORTING

# I. Purpose

Monitoring Review Report

The review verifies that special incidents have been reported within the required timeframes, that documentation meets the requirements of Title 17, California Code of Regulations, and that the follow-up was complete.

# II. Scope of Review

- 1. The records of the 18 individuals selected for the Home and Community-Based Services (HCBS SDP) Waiver sample were reviewed to determine that all required special incidents were reported to the Department during the review period.
- 2. There were no special incidents reported during the review period, consequently, a supplemental sample of special incidents was not available.

#### III. Results of Review

1. SCLARC reported all special incidents in the sample of 18 records selected for the HCBS SDP Waiver review to the Department.

# IV. Finding and Recommendation

None

# SAMPLE OF INDIVIDUALS SERVED

# **HCBS SDP Waiver Review of Individuals Served**

#	UCI
1	7431759
2	7420170
3	7436610
4	7425216
5	6049426
6	7492798
7	7410723
8	7427005
9	7412933
10	7450660
11	7447365
12	7469797
13	7466715
14	7440745
15	7442691
16	7440766
17	7471074
18	7435876

# **Supplemental Sample of Waiver Terminations**

#	UCI
T-1	6048268
T-2	7424647
T-3	7432184